moot (10:26:04 PM): are you anywhere with the map yet? SQ (10:26:13 PM): No. moot (10:26:39 PM): cool SQ (10:28:10 PM): I don't see why you something want done now that we can't even run publicly yet. moot (10:28:39 PM): so it actually gets done? SQ (10:28:48 PM): So how about that colocation! moot (10:29:17 PM); uh three weeks? So (10:29:17 PM); Why are you saying "uh" like this is a known statement? moot (10:29:25 PM): because ive told people? SQ (10:30:00 PM): Not me? moot (10:30:16 PM): i believe i mentioned it at that meeting, maybe not SQ (10:30:28 PM): You mean the meeting I wasn't told about either? SQ (10:30:33 PM): I told you to make one, but you said nothing SQ (10:30:41 PM): Set the topic and it happened while I was busy with other s tuff! SQ (10:30:57 PM): I didn't even know it was happening until it was almost ove r. moot (10:31:00 PM): i said i would do it, changed the channel topic, and you popped in moot (10:31:20 PM): im sorry you missed out on it! SQ (10:31:20 PM): I was not using IRC almost at all that day, I did not see s uch a topic change! SQ (10:31:30 PM): I'm sorry you said nothing to me, the one who suggested it! moot (10:31:31 PM): im sorry i cant read minds! SQ (10:31:35 PM): Not even so much as an "Okay." moot (10:31:43 PM): im sorry our conversations are unproductive and so are yo u moot (10:31:46 PM): OH NO I WENT THERE moot (10:31:53 PM): this is going nowhere, have fun with your games SQ (10:31:58 PM): What games? SQ (10:32:11 PM): You're the one who isn't providing needed information. SQ (10:32:17 PM): If you want someone to know something, you tell them. SQ (10:32:24 PM): You don't expect them to just "find out" moot (10:32:55 PM): SQ (9:05:25 PM): I have no drive to do anything when it d oes nothing for me. I just got a dvd burner, I am entertaining myself by playing new pirated games that have come out in the past year. SQ (10:33:06 PM): And? moot (10:33:15 PM): SQ (10:32:07 PM): What games? SQ (10:33:27 PM): Did I say that today SQ (10:33:28 PM): ? SQ (10:33:31 PM): I thought you didn't log anything, eh? moot (10:33:50 PM): ive loggd our past three conversations because its hard t o get you to remember things you or i have said! SQ (10:34:02 PM): No it isn't. moot (10:34:05 PM): you know what, please quit moot (10:34:07 PM): really moot (10:34:18 PM): and i dont mean be a baby and kill the site out of spite or something SQ (10:34:18 PM): Why? moot (10:34:26 PM): but youre completely unwilling to work with me moot (10:34:30 PM): oh youre going to dispute that! SQ (10:34:38 PM): No I'm not. moot (10:34:50 PM): thank Bob Ross not be (10:34:57 PM) ily magempletely unwilling tecds. things this instant that can

moot (10:35:26 PM): reporting/ban management/user panel were what then

moot (10:35:32 PM): if they dont fall into those categories SQ (10:35:38 PM): You asked about the map, not those. SQ (10:35:47 PM): Apparently that's your top interest! SQ (10:35:48 PM): FUN FUN moot (10:35:55 PM): again moot (10:35:57 PM): quit SQ (10:36:04 PM): Again. SQ (10:36:05 PM): Why? moot (10:36:06 PM): have fun with whatever you do, but you havent done a damn thing that ive asked of you for weeks mgot (10:36:15⁰ PM); yes, not months. moot (10:36:16 PM): because youre worthless, a baby, impossible to deal with, and abrasive SQ (10:36:25 PM): You think you're easy to deal with? SQ (10:36:29 PM): moot is crazy lol moot (10:36:30 PM): i dont even understand anymore moot (10:36:38 PM): i didnt say that! SQ (10:36:49 PM): moot is a hypocrite lol moot (10:36:58 PM): awesome SQ (10:37:01 PM): Again, sell the site you hate so much. moot (10:37:25 PM): thats something im not willing to do, it will die before it gets sold moot (10:37:29 PM): i dont want the money, ive said it repeatedly SQ (10:37:31 PM): Then kill it! SQ (10:37:41 PM): I'm sure a lot of people will think you'll be better off wi thout it. SQ (10:37:44 PM): I know Alison thinks that. moot (10:37:58 PM): again, this conversation is going nowhere, just like most of ours moot (10:38:02 PM): thanks for being of great service to the site SQ (10:38:11 PM): Why, because I'm speaking my mind? moot (10:38:13 PM): you were instrumental in bringing it back moot (10:38:16 PM): and i really like you! (EFRIENDS) moot (10:38:32 PM): no, because you havent done anything ive requested of you in a timely manner, despite my pleadings SQ (10:38:38 PM): Yes, not a thing. SQ (10:38:39 PM): Nothing. moot (10:38:56 PM): i know im exagerrating moot (10:39:37 PM): i honestly hope youre not deluded enough to think youre c ompletely in the right here moot (10:39:45 PM): i doubt you are SQ (10:39:50 PM): I never said I was. SQ (10:40:19 PM): However, I have made no promises about anything! I'm a lazy son of a bitch. moot (10:40:38 PM): which is why you shouldnt be in the position youre curren tly in. moot (10:40:53 PM): and you wont remain there because of it. SQ (10:40:54 PM): I'll do stuff when I get around to it, I don't usually just jump through hoops and you know it. I don't mind doing things that matter (bann ers, donation, shit that MATTERS) moot (10:41:14 PM): the ban management/admin panel/reporting are all "shit th at matters" SQ (10:41:14 PM): but doing the map three weeks (hey, just found this out!) b efore it could even possibly be used isn't quite my cup of tea. SQ (10:41:24 PM): admin panel? SQ (10:41:29 PM): What about the admin panel? at most (10:41:31 and what been thers" isnt for you to decide, but i agree th

moot (10:41:44 PM): the mod management thing i requested ages ago, that is wh

at i meant SQ (10:41:54 PM): Oh right, the 4chan map is a dire essential. moot (10:42:03 PM): huh? moot (10:42:08 PM): why are you even talking about the map SQ (10:42:14 PM): Because that is what you IM'd me about. moot (10:42:21 PM): why arent we discussing the ban modifactions ive requeste d for eight weeks or more moot (10:42:31 PM): and something that according to the last meeting and disc susions prior, believe would be extremely beneficial SQ (10:44:11 PM): I can't even do any work on 4chan currently because the sit e is fucked 8) moot (10:44:42 PM): why are you avoiding my points? moot (10:44:47 PM): this isnt up for discussion SQ (10:44:51 PM): I'm not, I've already discussed them. moot (10:45:10 PM): oh ok moot (10:45:18 PM): anyway, thanks for all the work, i hope you dont do anyth ing stupid out of anger SQ (10:45:25 PM): Anger of what? moot (10:45:38 PM): me being a jerk or whatever you could call this SQ (10:45:49 PM): It would take much more than this to get me angry. SQ (10:45:55 PM): This is normal moot! moot (10:46:03 PM): awesome SQ (10:46:13 PM): You should go write in your blog. SQ (10:46:23 PM): CHECK THIS SHIZZLE www.chanplz.com SQ (10:46:33 PM): or maybe it's .net SQ (10:46:38 PM): or .org fuck I don't remember SQ (10:46:42 PM): but it's jdigital's friend! moot (10:49:24 PM): oh boy SQ (10:50:27 PM): SQ (10:49:48 PM): he's jdigital's friend! Ko (10:50:03 PM): oh boy! moot (10:51:56 PM): do you want to remain on the staff or not? SQ (10:52:08 PM): Yeah, sure! SQ (10:52:22 PM): I have never seriously expressed quitting. moot (10:52:45 PM): i guess quoting you on it wouldnt change that statement moot (10:52:51 PM): youre not going to have access anymore SQ (10:52:53 PM): "seriously" SQ (10:52:56 PM): Why not? moot (10:52:56 PM): unless you built in some sort of backdoor i wont find moot (10:53:02 PM): because you dont need it. SQ (10:53:06 PM): I don't? moot (10:53:26 PM): not as far as im concerned moot (10:53:31 PM): feel free to disagree SQ (10:53:43 PM): Wow, cool. SQ (10:54:13 PM): I guess I'll just close this admin.php edit then! moot (10:55:53 PM): anything you want to say? SQ (10:56:02 PM): What should I say? SQ (10:56:06 PM): You appear to have made up your mind! SQ (10:56:16 PM): Why, I don't know, but sure man, whatever you say. moot (10:56:51 PM): why would you need server access moot (10:56:56 PM): you arent developing anymore SQ (10:57:04 PM): SQ (10:54:13 PM): I quess I'll just close this admin.php ed it then! moot (10:57:06 PM): thats a question moot (10:57:15 PM): i dont understand your response! SQ (10:57:24 PM): Uh, the fact that I was just doing work? SQ (10:57:30 PM): But you say I don't need access anymore SQ (10:57:40 PM): so I guess I'll stop again, since I won't be able to use it 1

moot (10:57:44 PM): i think its about eight weeks too late for that!

SQ (10:57:59 PM): Uh-huh, right. moot (10:58:09 PM): im glad you agree SQ (10:58:24 PM): Sarcasm is 100% agreement, imho. moot (10:58:31 PM): i agree! SQ (10:59:56 PM): "Why aren't you doing any work?" "Because I'm lazy. *starts doing work*" "Oh well, you're not allowed to do work anymore!" ":confused:" moot (11:00:07 PM): weve had this conversation multiple times SQ (11:00:17 PM): o rly M_{11}^{moot} (11:00:32 PM); yes totally unaware of this. moot (11:01:07 PM): im sorry then SQ (11:01:13 PM): Me too! SQ (11:03:45 PM): So, where are you going with this? moot (11:03:51 PM): do you have anything you want off of the server? moot (11:03:53 PM): what do you mean SQ (11:04:02 PM): This whole thing. SQ (11:04:08 PM): What are you doing and why? moot (11:04:08 PM): "whole thing" moot (11:04:10 PM): elaborate SQ (11:04:16 PM): What has happened in this instant message. moot (11:04:56 PM): can i call you since ive always found it easier to articu late myself on the phone SQ (11:05:17 PM): I guess, but what do you plan to say! moot (11:05:24 PM): who knows! Session concluded at 11:09:31 PM SQ (3:45:15 PM): shut: you can remain on team4chan if you like SQ: I don't think Moot wants that! shut: moot (3:37:31 PM): i told him he could remain on the team if he liked. SQ: and I said I never wanted to quit. shut: ok then SQ: But he took it upon himself to remove me anyway! SQ: Even though the last thing I told him is that I'd have the public bans re asons done today moot is away at 3:47:56 PM. SQ (3:51:14 PM): oh okay Auto response from moot (3:51:16 PM): brb poopin :(Session concluded at 3:51:20 PM moot (4:02:39 PM): that was mighty good! SQ (4:02:46 PM): what, poopin'? moot (4:02:56 PM): yeah i was backed up or something SQ (4:04:36 PM): Awesome. moot (4:04:55 PM): i know, right? SQ (4:07:05 PM): kewl moot (4:07:06 PM): i told you last night that you were free to remain on the team moot (4:07:10 PM): that hasnt changed SQ (4:07:27 PM): Your actions defy your words, good sir! moot (4:07:45 PM): those are my favorite kind of actions! SQ (4:07:53 PM): They would be! moot (4:07:55 PM): i didnt say in the same capacity. SQ (4:08:03 PM): Uh-huh. SQ (4:08:12 PM): Right. moot (4:08:24 PM): i also said that last night. moot (4:08:26 PM): are you interested, or not? SQ (4:08:51 PM): What "capacity" would that be? er meets (4:08:d49 of My: has moderator, temperaty basis like we'le. would not retain serv

SQ (4:09:57 PM): And why?

SQ (4:10:06 PM): You've still yet to give any valid reason for any of this. SQ (4:10:13 PM): Everyone thinks this is incredibly dumb. moot (4:10:13 PM): youve yet to accept any of my reasons. moot (4:10:19 PM): "everyone" SQ (4:10:23 PM): Everyone I've told! moot (4:11:07 PM): alright, feel free to tell them to voice that opinion to m e so it can be taken under consideration SQ (4:12:00 PM): So really, what is your valid reason for any of this? SQ (4:12:13 PM): I see that you took the site down for three hours last night , and then had another hour of trouble! moot (4:12:42 PM): i cannot have somebody in such a position of power who is irresponsible and confrontational moot (4:12:53 PM): there was a problem with mysql that bill had to fix moot (4:13:08 PM): it appears to be running fine now, minus the blotter not w orking on two boxes SQ (4:13:11 PM): Sorry "Robert," but I did not start this "confrontation." SQ (4:13:19 PM): Cool, I could get it to work easy as pie! SQ (4:13:24 PM): But wait, I can't. moot (4:13:27 PM): im sure you could! moot (4:13:34 PM): i know youre extremely smart and talented! im not denying this SQ (4:13:41 PM): Oh no, your pride :(moot (4:13:47 PM): oh boy pride moot (4:13:54 PM): again, this is going nowhere, just as all of our conversat ions do moot (4:14:00 PM): would you like to remain a moderator or not? SQ (4:14:04 PM): Why, because you still have no reason to do this? SQ (4:14:12 PM): And flat out admitted that this is not helping anything? moot (4:14:29 PM): im not sure where youve garnered the position to argue my decision moot (4:14:38 PM): this is second grade all over again SQ (4:14:45 PM): Oh really, what a fucking copout. SQ (4:15:06 PM): You don't have a real reason, so I now have no place to argu e about it! moot (4:15:29 PM): you need to get somebody to act as a proxy for you moot (4:15:44 PM): go voice everything to martin and then maybe he can debate things with me in a productive manner SQ (4:15:45 PM): I mean, despite still doing work for the site, just because I take a long time to do certain things is obviously a valid point for you not l et me to anything at all! moot (4:15:56 PM): i didnt say you couldnt do anything SQ (4:16:01 PM): oh yes moot (4:16:01 PM): i just said you wouldnt have access to the servers SQ (4:16:04 PM): And why? moot (4:16:09 PM): which isnt all that unreasonable SQ (4:16:10 PM): To make things more difficult? moot (4:16:15 PM): you know it! SQ (4:16:22 PM): Sure it is, there's no reason to revoke such a right. moot (4:16:43 PM): then present to me precise reasons that back that statemen t up SQ (4:16:45 PM): I mean, despite still doing work for the site, just because I take a long time to do certain things is obviously a valid point for you make me doing any work much harder than before SQ (4:16:55 PM): fixed! moot (4:17:10 PM): well im quite the slave driver you know, its how i get my kicks! moot (4:17:19 PM): what, asking patiently for weeks and all? Mod 4 (471881PM) On Fedantieven under standing our question, a and why block you's an

swer mine?

SQ (4:18:38 PM): Which question, and what is so hard about explaining your re asoning? moot (4:18:50 PM): reasoning to do what moot (4:18:54 PM): revoke your access to the servers/ moot (4:18:55 PM): ? SQ (4:19:01 PM): Yes, and remove my accounts on everything! moot (4:19:39 PM): nobody knows what you have scattered about the servers, it was easier to remove you in full and add you later rather than just remove you from critical things SQ (4:19:51 PM): Why bother? SQ (4:20:03 PM): Once again, explain how this is benefitial to anything. moot (4:20:23 PM): explain to me how keeping you on the team as dead weight d oes much of anything moot (4:20:32 PM): this is a prime example of why i dont want you to be an ad min SQ (4:20:35 PM): Well first I'd have to be dead weight! SQ (4:20:42 PM): You know, not do anything at all. SQ (4:20:51 PM): So far, all I have not done is complete things on the to-do list moot (4:20:56 PM): you cant even voice your frustrations in a coherent enough manner without sounding like a raging 16 year old, who is hinging all of his ar guments on the basis of "YOU SHOULDNT AND THEREFORE YOU CANT" SQ (4:21:03 PM): That doesn't mean I don't fix bugs, do smaller things, moder ator, fix problems. SQ (4:21:12 PM): Raging 16 year old? moot (4:21:16 PM): i understand you do those things SQ (4:21:20 PM): I'm not the one who was YELLING about this on the phone last night. moot (4:21:22 PM): i acknowledged that last night moot (4:21:30 PM): no you were the one rather upset! SQ (4:21:40 PM): Yeah, and I was yelling about it, right? moot (4:21:42 PM): i dont yell much, that was the first time in months if not years moot (4:21:57 PM): i have a pretty tame "yell" SQ (4:22:20 PM): I'm just baffled that you are doing this with such little re ason behind it, and the fact that you are taking this so seriously as to get so worked up that you end up yelling your concerns over the telephone. SQ (4:22:34 PM): It's pretty much out of nowhere as well! moot (4:23:06 PM): youve be naive to think that moot (4:23:13 PM): im surprised you could say that with a straight face moot (4:23:16 PM): not that i can see your face! SQ (4:23:46 PM): Right right, other than the other week, when have you expres sed the want to get rid of me entirely? moot (4:24:14 PM): remind me where ive said i wanted to get rid of you entire Τλ moot (4:24:21 PM): im encouraging you to remain on the team, just not in your former "capacity" SQ (4:24:34 PM): Your actions last night said so, entirely removing me SQ (4:24:44 PM): The excuse of "I don't know what you have laying around" is totally bogus. moot (4:24:58 PM): from an administrative standpoint, it was the right move SQ (4:24:58 PM): I totally installed a backdoor on 4chan to root the box via Word Press. moot (4:25:04 PM): feel free to dispute that moot (4:25:16 PM): people tend to do dumb things when they are upset and angr У SQ (4:25:16 PM): No, it really isn't, because it didn't need to be done at al 1. moot (4:25:18 PM): i assume you are upset

SQ (4:25:41 PM): No, not really, more confused at such rash and strange actio ns! moot (4:25:57 PM): rash, somewhat, strange, not really moot (4:26:12 PM): if you can convince bill that im completely wrong in what ive done, id be surprised and completely rethink what ive done/the future, etc SQ (4:26:39 PM): I'll ask again then, explain how removing me will cause any good to happen? SQ (4:26:58 PM): How removing my access will help? SQ (4:27:17 PM): Why this needs to even happen at all? SQ (4:28:03 PM): I don't, and I'm pretty sure anyone else would think that be cause I do not make changes to some things is merit to not allow me to do such c hanges. moot (4:28:27 PM): it wont directly, but you are no longer an admin, thus you dont require it--that is justification enough SQ (4:28:31 PM): And even if being able to do them, with less power, will mak e anything easier? moot (4:28:42 PM): im sure youd like a challenge SQ (4:28:49 PM): hahaha SQ (4:28:50 PM): what moot (4:29:10 PM): please dont draw "everyone" else into the equation as well , while i value the input of (most) others, this isnt their decision moot (4:29:13 PM): this isnt being voted on SQ (4:29:23 PM): When you are trying to get someone to do something, you don' t make them not want to do. SQ (4:29:24 PM): it moot (4:29:25 PM): ive asked a few select people of their opinions on the mat ter and theyve more or less agreed SQ (4:29:35 PM): Sounds pretty one sided to me. moot (4:29:45 PM): im sorry to hear that SQ (4:29:49 PM): Why? moot (4:30:01 PM): because you are/(or were?) my efriend and i like you : (SQ (4:30:08 PM): You sure act like it. moot (4:30:28 PM): because you are my friend doesnt justify you continuing to be an administrator on the website moot (4:30:35 PM): there is nothing personal mixed into this moot (4:30:45 PM): if there was, i wouldnt have done this, im trying to keep this strictly related to the website moot (4:30:52 PM): i feel youre trying to draw other personal matters out tho ugh. SQ (4:30:55 PM): Because I don't get things done in time doesn't jusitify mak ing it harder to do. SQ (4:31:03 PM): What personal matters? moot (4:31:44 PM): you shouldnt be complaining to others which leads to them "picking sides", again, it is none of their business and they have little to no say in it moot (4:31:49 PM): "yo beef is with me" moot (4:31:51 PM): EBONICS SQ (4:32:02 PM): When did I complain? moot (4:32:21 PM): from what i was told in t4c? i didnt see it though so you have me there moot (4:32:25 PM): voicing your frustrations rather! moot (4:32:33 PM): feel free to make this as public as you want, but it wont help anything moot (4:32:57 PM): you need to convince me that what im doing is wrong, not t hem. SQ (4:32:59 PM): Just like doing all of this won't help anything? moot (4:33:16 PM): would you charactarize yourself as a responsible individua 1 moot (4:33:18 PM): answer this seriously please

SQ (4:33:25 PM): If I have the need to be, yes. SQ (4:33:32 PM): You seem to forget what 4chan is. moot (4:33:37 PM): excuse me moot (4:33:38 PM): that is a no SQ (4:33:40 PM): A volunteer run free anime porn website. moot (4:33:51 PM): would you say you have the ability to agree to and meet de adlines on time? SQ (4:33:55 PM): And you expect people to act like "responsible paid employee s" SQ (4:34:06 PM): You don't technically give deadlines! moot (4:34:21 PM): youve set them for yourself in the past by commiting to da moot (4:34:26 PM): again, i didnt log things that far back moot (4:34:34 PM): but if youre going to be honest, please admit to that. SQ (4:35:28 PM): Sure, I've said I'll have things done by a time. moot (4:36:36 PM): in the past few months, if ont normally, do you meet those imposed "deadlines"? moot (4:36:40 PM): again, truthfully! SQ (4:36:48 PM): Sometimes! moot (4:38:08 PM): would you say that you have at times allowed grievances, m isgivings, personal-issues to interfere with the way you deal with others (in te rms of conversation and attitude), more specifically, myself? SQ (4:38:24 PM): In example? SQ (4:38:31 PM): I was unaware I had any personal issues with you. moot (4:39:02 PM): my approaching you for information, or updates, etc, and r eceiving sarcastic responses, smilies, and generally worthless banter SQ (4:39:42 PM): What does that have to do with personal issues :confuse d: moot (4:40:09 PM): reread the question SQ (4:40:20 PM): I DON'T GET IT moot (4:41:02 PM): do you let outside factors affect the way you deal with my self and others at certain times, in effect making it hard to converse/"deal" wi th you SQ (4:42:55 PM): Huh? moot (4:43:01 PM): im sorry you dont understand this SQ (4:43:05 PM): No? SQ (4:43:06 PM): I don't. SQ (4:43:13 PM): I don't see why you think I do. moot (4:43:32 PM): do you understand that because you have elected to assume a position of "power", with that comes certain responsibilities moot (4:43:39 PM): your position is a priviledge, not a right moot (4:44:12 PM): there is a tradeoff, you perform your duties, in a timely fashion, and in turn you are able to exert whatever control you are entitled to in that position SQ (4:44:17 PM): haha okay SQ (4:44:22 PM): you are such a great salesman moot (4:44:27 PM): you really dont grasp this SQ (4:44:45 PM): I don't really grasp how you think this is a good plan of ac tion moot (4:44:49 PM): the reason you dont understand my actions is because you d ont understand what ive just said SQ (4:44:50 PM): which you still have yet to answer moot (4:45:18 PM): that you have not fulfilled your responsibilities over the past months or so, and because of that, there is no longer a need to keep you i n that position moot (4:45:26 PM): you put yourself in that place, not me SQ (4:45:29 PM): You still act like I do nothing. o things (4:45:40 PM): im sorry it comes off that way, again, i acknowledge you d SQ (4:45:49 PM): No, you really don't.

SQ (4:46:03 PM): Because they are not always things you specifically request, they don't matter to you. SQ (4:49:58 PM): Do you not have any response to this? moot (4:51:28 PM): actually im cleaning and cant talk moot (4:51:31 PM): give me ten minutesSession concluded at 5:06:16 PMmoot (5: 14:53 PM): youre right, i probably put less leverage behind things i dont reques t moot (5:15:02 PM): have you implemented any major changes/features though moot (5:15:09 PM): aside from random bug fixes and restarting things to unbre ak them SQ (5:15:21 PM): Not currently, except the stuff I started this weekend! SQ (5:15:29 PM): I'd consider the whole donations thing to be pretty big. SQ (5:16:45 PM): You do realize that more programmers is better, right? SQ (5:16:54 PM): that having* moot (5:17:55 PM): it was a mistake to put all of the burden on you in the fi rst place, ive realized that moot (5:18:04 PM): and yes the donations thing was definitely big SQ (5:18:18 PM): So how is making things harder for me to do anything going t o help? SQ (5:18:41 PM): I have expressed that working with Coda actually made me wan t to botherdoing things on the to-do list! moot (5:19:33 PM): from what youre telling me, its not SQ (5:19:42 PM): From what I'm telling you? moot (5:19:58 PM): had you demonstrated the ability to actually "get things d one", being things ive requested for quite some time, this would not have happen ed. SQ (5:20:08 PM): This didn't need to happen. moot (5:20:13 PM): as it stands, you dont even acknowledge having a responsib ility in the first place SQ (5:20:18 PM): I don't? moot (5:20:23 PM): i take that back moot (5:20:26 PM): you treat it differently as i do SQ (5:20:49 PM): I think caring about something working properly and making s ure it runs is pretty responsible. SQ (5:21:01 PM): I have not bothered sleeping before to make sure 4chan is wo rkinq. moot (5:21:25 PM): believe it or not, so have i! moot (5:21:41 PM): the entire first year was me staying up until the wee hour s of the morning every night moot (5:21:46 PM): and getting up an hour later to go to class moot (5:21:47 PM): it sucked. to-80 15:21u52 PM) remove ind/maretthengeven tot addingeven tother addingeven tother and ingmathen ing. SQ (5:22:40 PM): Your previous logic about having a responsibility, and not 1 iving up to it, being cause doesn't work with the fact that I still do things fo r the site. SQ (5:22:48 PM): comma comma SQ (5:22:59 PM): All off this is needless drama. moot (5:23:40 PM): the "features" i had been adament at requesting may as wel l be termed "vital improvements' moot (5:23:48 PM): unlike my past ideas, they werent for shits and giggles. SQ (5:23:59 PM): Either way, you still act as if I do nothing. SQ (5:24:08 PM): Even though you claim to acknowledge that I do. SQ (5:24:48 PM): You treat this all as business. If I did absolutely nothing, I wouldn't have any place to say this is uncalled for. SQ (5:25:04 PM): If you treated it properly as a business, you would work out a schedule with your new-found multiple programmers, work out a plan of action

a SQ"(5:25:17 PM): You Would Yalk with them together, work out a plan of action for things to come.

moot (5:25:22 PM): im sorry, but its next to impossible to "work out" anythin g with you moot (5:25:23 PM): most of the time moot (5:25:25 PM): feel free to disagree moot (5:25:29 PM): but you can be worse to deal with than saber moot (5:25:34 PM): and saber makes me want to shoot him over the internet moot (5:25:41 PM): on the phone hes fucking lollipops and rainbows though, it s amazing SQ (5:26:02 PM): Can you not admit you are just as difficult to work with? moot (5:26:23 PM): what do you mean not admit moot (5:26:30 PM): i have been extremely difficult to work with moot (5:26:34 PM): in my opinion, thats moreso in the past SQ (5:26:36 PM): Then why be so hypocritical about the situation? moot (5:26:42 PM): recently, i believe ive been pretty lax and understanding moot (5:26:48 PM): i dont hound you like i used to. moot (5:27:01 PM): to be a hypocrite id have to have said otherwise in the fi rst place moot (5:27:09 PM): i freely admit to what youve just said SQ (5:27:10 PM): Your actions against me being hard to work with are. moot (5:27:29 PM): i always have SQ (5:27:36 PM): If I acted as you did, I should have quit months ago because you are hard to work with! moot (5:27:55 PM): i think until you realize that the position youve put your self in requires a great deal of responsibility, we are going to get nowhere ove rall moot (5:28:00 PM): 4chan is a stupid anime site moot (5:28:07 PM): but it is a serious project, to me at least. SQ (5:28:21 PM): and I still exert some of it! moot (5:28:23 PM): i have a responsibility to the users to keep the site up, and so far ive been abel to deliver on that moot (5:28:28 PM): able rather SQ (5:28:46 PM): as I said before, if you treated this seriously, you wouldn' t have done something like this, and would have even bothered to TRY to work thi ngs out as a team. moot (5:29:09 PM): your statement is inaccurate SQ (5:29:15 PM): Sometimes "Team 4chan" is very little of a team. I know it's your site, but as we all know, democracy works. moot (5:29:15 PM): it assumes i believe what ive done to be in complete corre ctness moot (5:29:20 PM): which isnt the case SQ (5:29:24 PM): Then why are you doing it? ovemant. (5:29:33 PM): i never said the decision ive made has been the right one moot (5:29:42 PM): thus you cant say that by doing this, im not treating thin gs seriously SQ (5:29:43 PM): You still have yet to answer how this is a good decision. moot (5:29:48 PM): on the contrary, treating thigns seriously is what led to this SQ (5:30:09 PM): If you have the mind to make things worse, yes. moot (5:30:26 PM): in the long run , it probably isnt, feel free to quote me on this, because it will sour our relationship (INTERNET), and slow progress on the site theoretically moot (5:30:33 PM): is that answer sufficient? SQ (5:31:38 PM): in the long run, it makes unnecessary work and drama for eve ryone and some people, and "sours your relationship" with your "efriend" SQ (5:31:49 PM): So why is it a good choice? SQ (5:32:06 PM): Or did you really just feel the need to "shake things up"? moot (5:32:32 PM): Oh boy shaking things up, that wasnt my intention SQ (5:33:09 PM): That's what you wanted to do last time you wanted to remove

mods! SQ (5:33:17 PM): which is what you did last night! SQ (5:33:42 PM): Don't ignore my first question though. SQ (5:33:47 PM): It's been repeating this entire time. moot (5:34:20 PM): if we were both adults, doing this wouldnt affect our pers onal relationship whatsoever moot (5:34:21 PM): BUT ALAS SQ (5:34:27 PM): What personal relationship? moot (5:34:31 PM): OH NO moot (5:34:51 PM): well if we arent efriends then im not exactly compelled to be loyal to you in the first place moot (5:34:59 PM): which makes this much easier, thanks SQ (5:35:09 PM): hahaha SQ (5:35:12 PM): okay man SQ (5:35:13 PM): if you say so moot (5:35:16 PM): shaking things up was mistaken wording which you will neve r let qo of! moot (5:35:22 PM): removing dead weight works in its stead SQ (5:35:29 PM): You still won't answer my question! moot (5:35:32 PM): what question? SQ (5:35:33 PM): Why do you avoid it so? SQ (5:35:42 PM): SQ (5:31:49 PM): So why is it a good choice? SQ (5:35:57 PM): I'd go find every other instance of the same/similar questio n, but that is too much work. moot (5:35:59 PM): moot (5:30:38 PM): in the long run, it probably isnt, SQ (5:36:05 PM): THEN WHY ARE YOU DOING IT? moot (5:36:31 PM): ive already stated my reasons behind it, go reread them! i m acknowledging that my decision may be incorrect though SQ (5:36:53 PM): So you admit it's a bad idea, but you also say you're trying to be serious about the site? moot (5:36:58 PM): i didnt say it was a bad idea moot (5:37:05 PM): i said it could be construed as one that is incorrect. moot (5:37:19 PM): how can you associate my decision and being "serious" abou t the site? moot (5:37:25 PM): at least answer my questions SQ (5:37:29 PM): Because the decision is stupid. moot (5:37:32 PM): wow moot (5:37:36 PM): thats an argument alright moot (5:37:39 PM): concise, i like it SQ (5:37:46 PM): I've already stated the reasons it doesn't make sense. SQ (5:37:52 PM): Your logic is very flawed. moot (5:38:03 PM): and have stated the teagonarway it does SQ (5:38:08 PM): with flawed logic :rolleyes: moot (5:38:27 PM): alright moot (5:38:31 PM): do we have anything left to discuss? SQ (5:39:04 PM): Since you won't take anything I say into the least bit of co nsideration, whatever! moot (5:40:00 PM): youre assuming the only way i can take what youve said int o consideration is to agree with you moot (5:40:02 PM): which makes no sense SQ (5:40:06 PM): No, I don't. SQ (5:40:19 PM): But you still stand by the "You don't do anything, so you do n't need access." SQ (5:40:22 PM): Even though that is untrue. moot (5:41:51 PM): you appear to believe your viewpoint is a fact of the matt er moot (5:41:53 PM) it isnt nbsp;:(nat I actually do things? moot (5:42:36 PM): that what youve done, or rather not done, warrants you hav

e access to the server and be installed in an "administration"-type position SQ (5:42:56 PM): so it warrents making things more difficult? SQ (5:43:10 PM): things were rather fine as they were, in the grand scheme of things. SQ (5:43:28 PM): coda even said so! moot (5:43:46 PM): you enjoyed all the benefits of being wtsnacks, admin of 4 chan, without really putting the work into earning it moot (5:43:48 PM): i use earning loosely SQ (5:43:59 PM): What benefits? moot (5:44:10 PM): internet superstar, wtsnacks, of internet fame, ON THE INT ERNET moot (5:44:15 PM): more specifically, having access to the server. SQ (5:44:17 PM): That's new to me. moot (5:44:19 PM): nobody else has access for a reason! SQ (5:44:25 PM): Nobody else does anything. SQ (5:44:29 PM): I do. moot (5:44:29 PM): theyre not admins, they arent expected to do the things yo u were expected to moot (5:44:39 PM): anything isnt the bar. SQ (5:44:55 PM): It's funny that this is the first you've expressed "responsi bility" and "having access" to 4chan. moot (5:45:15 PM): as usual, your statement bears no relevance to this conver sation moot (5:45:20 PM): care to say something else? SQ (5:45:35 PM): How does it bear no relevance? SQ (5:45:47 PM): It has to do with you springing such things upon myself. SQ (5:46:38 PM): Until now, you had expressed no existance of such a "bar" SQ (5:46:57 PM): I'd considering making sure the site runs smoothly rather ad min-like. moot (5:47:11 PM): simon has expressed interest in restructuring the way thin qs work moot (5:47:17 PM): i told him im completely open and willing on the idea moot (5:47:24 PM): he said he had a proposal to send me within the week moot (5:47:32 PM): if you had concerns with the way things are being run, as he has, you should have piped up. moot (5:47:38 PM): after the fact doesnt do much good. SQ (5:47:47 PM): piped up when? SQ (5:48:00 PM): I personally have no problems with the way things are being run. SQ (5:48:15 PM): Not taking into account my laziness. SQ (5:48:44 PM): But if you have problems with the way something is run, you trymbotwq5k49h32gpMoutiandvmakeqtesmibatter, not cut things off. moot (5:49:36 PM): what exactly do you want out of this SQ (5:49:41 PM): As I said before, I would have had no problem with you tryin g to work a partnership and plan of action with coda. SO (5:49:44 PM): Out of what? moot (5:50:17 PM): this conversation, and your potential future working on th e site again (continued really) moot (5:50:24 PM): the last was horridly phrased SQ (5:50:33 PM): Yeah, I don't get the second part. moot (5:50:57 PM): why do you want to continue helping SQ (5:50:59 PM): Out of this conversation, I'd like it to stop being a pissin g contest about opinions, and a way to return things to normal and work out the moving ahead of 4chan. moot (5:51:08 PM): if you arent very interested in the site itself, or workin g with me, etc do not want to stop? Because I have put over a year of work into this site, and I SQ (5:51:30 PM): I never said I wasn't interested in the site or working with

you. SQ (5:52:00 PM): Yes, the users are horrible and the benefits are very little , but it is a (sometimes) exciting project that I am proud to be a part of. moot (5:52:01 PM): you dont seem to care for me very much : (when it is the opposite with my feelings towards you :'(SQ (5:52:18 PM): I don't care for your "craziness", aka flipping out like you 've done. SQ (5:52:32 PM): That is because I do not agree with your actions at all. SQ (5:52:46 PM): You claim to be serious about this, and being serious about it, took these actions. SQ (5:52:57 PM): I don't see that as the right way to go about this, and is j ust mucking everything up. moot (5:53:12 PM): do you at least realize recently ive been attempting to mo ve forward SQ (5:53:27 PM): Yes, I am well aware of that. moot (5:53:28 PM): 4chan for the most part has been extremely stagnant, which isnt a good thing, because there have been numerous things to improve on moot (5:53:36 PM): if it were perfect, the whole stagnant thing would be grea t moot (5:53:38 PM): but its far from it. SQ (5:53:52 PM): I don't agree with your methods of doing so though, those me thods being in reference to today/last night. moot (5:54:31 PM): i hope that youre intelligent enough (and i know youre ver y smart) to at least respect my decisions, because like it or not, i have made v alid points, even though they do not coincide with your own moot (5:54:51 PM): and no dont think im stroking my dick here SQ (5:54:56 PM): Your points may be valid, but the way of dealing with them i s not. moot (5:54:57 PM): but agreeing with never implies respect moot (5:55:13 PM): and vice versa moot (5:55:14 PM): hold on SQ (5:55:47 PM): You may think that things could improve in the long run with out me, and that may be true. SQ (5:56:08 PM): But it doesn't have to be in the long run, and I don't have to stop my actions as I do them now. moot (5:56:14 PM): what spurred your interest in developing for the site agai n moot (5:56:23 PM): the fact that it had to come down to me basically removing you is a very, very, bad sign. SQ (5:56:27 PM): Uh, as I said before, having someone else to pick up the sla ck? BQOt5(969332MPM)A BadyguqAegiigRatin lazy" doesnt cut it all the time moot (5:56:40 PM): of your train of thought moot (5:56:54 PM): "oh shit im about to lose something so i might as well wor k towards not doing it" SQ (5:56:57 PM): So I'm not allowed to feel attached to a project that I have put very much work in? moot (5:56:59 PM): er, doing it rather moot (5:57:03 PM): i didnt say that SQ (5:57:05 PM): You still act like I do nothing. moot (5:57:07 PM): why the fuck do you think i didnt sell the site? SQ (5:57:15 PM): Why the fuck do you think I haven't quit on my own? moot (5:57:18 PM): do you realize 99% of people out there would have sold it in a heartbeat SQ (5:57:42 PM): I'm sure they would! moot (5:57:43 PM): fuck all of my advisors were like YOURE STUPID MOOTYKINS! moot (5:58:00 PM); i think it would be a horrendous thing to do, and while im not moralistic, i dont agree with people who do things like that moot (5:58:07 PM): i understand that whole attachment thing, believe me

moot (5:58:27 PM): i pasted my news updates into word recently and saw i have written over 47 pages of words about this timesink in the past two years moot (5:58:46 PM): which to me was not only surprising but impressive moot (5:59:03 PM): ive said time and time again that you are one of the only reasons the site is still up SQ (5:59:23 PM): Perfect time to get rid of me. moot (5:59:25 PM): i may bitch about you being more or less a baby when deali ng with me, but i always acknowledge the work youve done for the site SQ (5:59:26 PM): HE IS OF NO USE ANYMORE moot (5:59:30 PM): just as i do bill SO (5:59:31 PM): No you don't. moot (5:59:35 PM): like when he was a fucking ghost moot (5:59:40 PM): Prime im loyal as fuck to the guy, hes amazing SQ (5:59:46 PM): Just like I said before, if it's not something you specifica lly request, it doesn't matter. moot (5:59:48 PM): but it doesnt dismiss the fact that he is/can be a COMPLET E FLAKE SQ (5:59:58 PM): Good thing you act the same way with me SQ (5:59:59 PM): oh wait SQ (6:00:16 PM): Even though I'm always around, doing at least SOMETHING moot (6:00:17 PM): oh wait, youd be crazy to think you hear everything i rela te to others about you! SQ (6:00:25 PM): Huh? moot (6:00:29 PM): SQ (6:00:11 PM): Good thing you act the same way with me SQ (6:00:12 PM): oh wait SQ (6:00:39 PM): Your actions currently are not showing any "loyalty" SQ (6:00:47 PM): They are showing dismissiveness. moot (6:01:09 PM): while i may be loyal to you personally, only a fool would take that into strong account while making a decision such as this moot (6:01:13 PM): 4chan isnt a business SQ (6:01:17 PM): They are saying "You did great work in the past, and even th ough you still do some work, despite less of it, you don't really need to be her e anymore" SQ (6:01:30 PM): Even though that little work still matters SQ (6:01:38 PM): and you act like I've flat out refused to do anything new. moot (6:01:51 PM): you have through your actions, or rather, inaction! moot (6:01:54 PM): in words, no moot (6:01:58 PM): again, in what youve produced, yes moot (6:02:01 PM): youre going to fight that SQ (6:02:04 PM): There you go acting like I do nothing again! moot (6:02:06 PM): but in my opinion, it is a truth domanythend2nd4.PM): SQ (6:01:50 PM): and you act like I've flat out refused to moot (6:02:20 PM): meaning, my feature requests SQ (6:02:32 PM): Even though I said I would, and had even started work on som e? SO (6:02:50 PM): Some inaction. moot (6:03:01 PM): have you forgotten it took you more or less eight weeks to arrive at that point moot (6:03:03 PM): seriously, come on now. SQ (6:03:28 PM): I quess that is ample reason to not allow it to be done at a 11. moot (6:03:35 PM): i never said it was SQ (6:03:38 PM): You act like it is. moot (6:03:40 PM): you just evaded my point moot (6:03:48 PM): actions dont always imply beliefs! SQ (6:03:51 PM): No, I didn't, because I have previously stated it. SO (6:04:00 PM): SQ (6:01:38 PM): and you act like I've flat out refused to d o anything new. moot (6:01:51 PM): you have through your actions, or rather, inaction!

```
moot (6:01:54 PM): in words, no
   SQ (6:04:06 PM): Oh no!
   moot (6:04:19 PM): huh?
   moot (6:04:30 PM): that wasnt "in other words"
   moot (6:04:34 PM): it was literally, in words
   SQ (6:04:45 PM): So my actions imply fact, but yours don't?
   moot (6:05:04 PM): your actions imply your unwillingness to accept a responsi
bility that you assigned to yourself
   moot (6:05:07 PM): do you disagree?
   SQ (6:05:23 PM): Yeah, because I've expressed in word the opposite.
   moot (6:05:39 PM): what does your word mean to me at this point?
moot (6:05:46 PM): i can only tolerate "ill do it x" so many times!
   moot (6:05:55 PM): this applies to most people
   moot (6:06:02 PM): its crying wolf, people get fed up, frustrated, annoyed, e
tc
   SQ (6:06:23 PM): Perfect time to not even bother finding out.
   SQ (6:06:30 PM): Someone says they'll have something done tomorrow
   SQ (6:06:38 PM): Why bother seeing if they will?
   moot (6:06:41 PM): you presented that after the fact
   SQ (6:06:42 PM): I mean, it's only a day.
   SO (6:06:44 PM): No I didn't.
   moot (6:06:45 PM): after the decision had been made.
   moot (6:06:47 PM): yes, you did.
   SQ (6:07:01 PM): How would you know what work I have done?
   SQ (6:07:07 PM): As you said, you can't afford to keep tabs on me so often!
   moot (6:07:12 PM): please justify your actions of not implementing the import
ant things which ive requested for months
   moot (6:07:20 PM): because you flat out told me you had not started the ban p
anel not one week ago
   moot (6:07:24 PM): please dont make me dig up logs
   SQ (6:07:27 \text{ PM}): And that was a week ago.
   SQ (6:07:31 PM): That is not now.
   moot (6:07:33 PM): and that was seven weeks too late.
   moot (6:07:42 PM): reread that sentence a few times
   moot (6:07:52 PM): "too little, too late"
   moot (6:07:53 PM): bingo!
   moot (6:08:07 PM): what a perfect statement!
   SQ (6:08:14 PM): You sure laid it out in stone that if I didn't have things d
one at a certain time, I'd no longer be doing them!
   SQ (6:08:19 PM): Or at all.
   SQ (6:08:30 PM): This is very out of the blue.
ttemoot (6:08:41 PM): as i said last night, you are not a baby nor am i a babysi
   moot (6:08:49 PM): i cannot believe you tried to convince me i was at fault f
or you not completing thigns
   moot (6:08:53 PM): because i didnt remind you EVER DAY
   moot (6:08:57 PM): and tell the team to remind you EVERY DAY
   SQ (6:08:59 PM): What does that have to do with anything?
   SQ (6:09:02 PM): That wasn't even what was said.
   moot (6:09:10 PM): it has to do with what you believe to be the case
   SQ (6:09:20 PM): That was about me no longer working for the site if I don't
do things in a timely manner!
   moot (6:09:42 PM): i didnt spell it out for you, no, but then again, i should
nt have needed to
   SQ (6:09:52 PM): Yes, you should have, because this is not a business.
   SQ (6:09:58 PM): This is a volunteer site.
   moot (6:10:05 PM): does that mean i cant hold standards?
   moot (6:10:07 PM): sorry but fuck that.
So (6:10:14 PM): If you don't make such standards clear, what's the point?
   moot (6:10:28 PM): i treat this "volunteer site" as a commitment
```

moot (6:10:33 PM): do you? SQ (6:10:41 PM): Yes/ SQ (6:10:42 PM): . SQ (6:10:42 PM): , SQ (6:11:00 PM): Why do you think I commit to keeping it running? moot (6:11:41 PM): youve said before that one of your motivations is being ex tremely bored or having nothing better to do moot (6:11:49 PM): why should i assume that isnt the case SQ (6:11:52 PM): I also said having someone else to help me code is! moot (6:12:04 PM): too bad you only informed me of this today! SQ (6:12:08 PM): No I didn't. moot (6:12:12 PM): go quote it then moot (6:12:15 PM): i may be wrong moot (6:12:16 PM): but i dont recall. SQ (6:12:20 PM): I expressed it when you first told me Coda would be coding. SQ (6:12:51 PM): and even back when you stated interest in getting new progra mmers SQ (6:12:54 PM): months ago SQ (6:13:03 PM): I said that more is better. SQ (6:13:18 PM): It's much easier for 5 programmers to do 1/5th of the work t han one doing everything. moot (6:13:18 PM): too bad nobody else wants to help SQ (6:13:30 PM): What is Coda's purpose then? SQ (6:13:35 PM): Other than my replacement of course! moot (6:13:48 PM): i brought him in to actually "get shit done" moot (6:13:52 PM): he told me he could do things moot (6:13:54 PM): he has done them SQ (6:14:03 PM): You are a great business man, getting your team together moot (6:14:06 PM): he has also expressed interest for the past y ear apparent ly SQ (6:14:07 PM): Er, I mean seperating them. moot (6:14:14 PM): those statements are extremely beneficial to this conversa tion moot (6:14:19 PM): and mature none the less. SQ (6:14:54 PM): Yeah man, because you not bothering to take initiative in ge tting your team to work together, and instead cutting one out for horrible reaso ns is awesome. SQ (6:15:12 PM): You aren't a "babysitter", but you are a "boss" moot (6:15:20 PM): yeah, i am. moot (6:15:29 PM): its me prerogative. moot (6:15:31 PM): my rather. ng & Kaib5the BMte?So why do you take this course of action, instead of botheri SQ (6:16:03 PM): You take away one of it's most valuable resources. moot (6:16:23 PM): if you havent noticed, ive come up with a bunch of ideas t o help further the site recently! not all management wise, but externally moot (6:16:34 PM): and my three main important ones have been disclosed for a ges moot (6:16:42 PM): ban redo, mod panel, report posts SQ (6:16:46 PM): What does that have to do with getting your programmers to w ork together? moot (6:16:57 PM): where is that coming from? moot (6:17:02 PM): i dont even understand your point/question SQ (6:17:07 PM): Uh, what I've been taking about the past dozen lines? SQ (6:17:30 PM): Instead of getting your programmers to work together as a te am, and jet forward progress with the power of two SQ (6:17:44 PM): you remove one entirely, or make their coming work harder moot (6:17:47 PM): jet forward progress, hahahaha moot (6:17:53 PM): in dream land maybe

SQ (6:18:25 PM): so having multiple features completed with two people workin g on something, from no features being completed isn't "jetting forward progress "? moot (6:19:30 PM): i dont think progressive would be a good adjective to desc ribe your tenure as our CODER NUMERO UNO as of late SQ (6:19:42 PM): Who cares about titles? moot (6:19:55 PM): you? SQ (6:19:55 PM): You are effectively revoking any possibility of progress, wi th no gain in sight. SQ (6:19:59 PM): No, I don't. moot (6:20:02 PM): ive been telling you that you can remain on the team and c ode moot (6:20:07 PM): but you wont be an admin or CODER UNO moot (6:20:12 PM): and you arent satisfied with this moot (6:20:14 PM): so how can you say that? SQ (6:20:24 PM): I am unsatisfied with not being able to work as I am used to moot (6:20:26 PM): im not trying to castrate you, as much as youd like to bel ieve SQ (6:20:27 PM): with no reason for it. moot (6:20:34 PM): dont say no reason SQ (6:20:35 PM): Yes, you are. moot (6:20:37 PM): say reason which i do not agree with. moot (6:20:39 PM): because that is the case moot (6:20:43 PM): you cant ignore the reasons ive presented moot (6:20:47 PM): but you can choose to disagree with them. SQ (6:20:48 PM): No, you actually have no reason to remove my shell access. SQ (6:20:56 PM): Most of the things I do use it! moot (6:21:13 PM): here ill throw one out there, it presents a liability from the most basic of security standpoints moot (6:21:19 PM): oh youre not going to like that one moot (6:21:30 PM): but go ask any admin out there, is limiting access to crit ical components a BAD thing SQ (6:21:30 PM): Maybe because it makes no sense moot (6:21:32 PM): no, its not. SQ (6:21:36 PM): How am I a security risk? moot (6:22:09 PM): you have complete control over three servers that run a ma ssive website? your personality and "relationship" or lack thereof with me furth er complicate that moot (6:22:20 PM): part of me thinks youd never harm us moot (6:22:27 PM): another thinks, oh shit, its wtsnacks, watch the fuck out SQ (6:22:31 PM): what the fuck? 90046(232322#MPM) WAYER THETFURRUUUTING? i hope not! SQ (6:23:35 PM): THE SITE I'VE HAD ACCESS TO FOR OVER A YEAR, I'M GONNA OWN T HAT SHIT NIGGA SQ (6:23:45 PM): LOL MOOT GOT PWN3D moot (6:23:50 PM): el oh el. SQ (6:23:51 PM): Seriously, what the fuck SQ (6:23:54 PM): Why would you ever think that? moot (6:24:08 PM): because i think youre just as fucking rash ad i am, and no t only that, you conduct yourself as a baby at times moot (6:24:17 PM): again talking to you is like fucking a brick wall at times , painful and unrewarding moot (6:24:29 PM): just like saber, who again, is rainbows on the phone, very easy to relate with moot (6:25:09 PM): if i didnt trust you, youd never have had access in the fi rst place, its not that (youll disagree, and i would too), but i have the right t o be worried about what you could possibly do, put under the right circumstances SQ (6:25:11 PM): And why would that make you suddenly think my shell access i s a bad thing?

```
moot (6:25:14 PM): i know this is probably incredibly offensive
   SQ (6:25:31 PM): Why does Coda have access then?
   moot (6:25:33 PM): because as of right now, you more or less have the absolut
e most power over the site out of everybody
   SQ (6:25:33 PM): Or Lucid?
   moot (6:26:06 PM): dont even bring bill into it
   moot (6:26:10 PM): i cant even find a reason why he wouldnt have it
   moot (6:26:14 PM): he IS the only reason the site is up
   SQ (6:26:33 PM): I also preform many of the tasks that a sysadmin would, beca
use ours are never around.
  moot (6:26:38 PM): hes the ADMINISTRATOR of the SYSTEM
moot (6:26:40 PM): youre, NOT!
   SQ (6:26:41 PM): Despite doing only things benefitial to the site with my ssh
 access
   moot (6:26:43 PM): youre a php coder!
   SQ (6:26:48 PM): you think I'm going to do something bad with it
   SQ (6:26:57 PM): even though you have absolutely no reason to think that
   SQ (6:27:02 PM): especially after over a year of it
   moot (6:27:06 PM): your personality lends itself to me thinking that
   moot (6:27:12 PM): i hope you can realize that somehow
   SQ (6:27:30 PM): My personality of being annoyed by demands?
   SQ (6:27:37 PM): Oh man, moot bugged me to do this stuff
   SQ (6:27:42 \text{ PM}): I am going to rm -rf / now
   SQ (6:27:59 PM): I AM A SPITEFUL 4CHAN WARRIOR OF VENGEANCE
   moot (6:28:11 PM): i shouldnt have brought this up, now things will go downhi
ll even faster
   moot (6:28:16 PM): what else do you have left to get off of your chest
   moot (6:28:23 PM): you still havent answered the fundamental question
   moot (6:28:28 PM): do you wish to remain a part of this team, or not
   moot (6:28:31 PM): it is a simple question
   moot (6:28:39 PM): im not discussing the terms in which youd stay, etc
   moot (6:28:41 PM): but at its core
   SQ (6:28:43 PM): If you'll stop this stupid fucking charade, yes.
   moot (6:28:44 PM): do you want to be on the team, yes or no
   SQ (6:28:54 PM): and in a single word, yes.
   SQ (6:28:58 PM): as I've said many times before
   moot (6:29:01 PM): charade, woo, thanks for proving to me youve learned and a
ccepted nothing of what ive said
   SQ (6:29:05 PM): I never said I wanted ot leave the site.
   moot (6:29:17 PM): ill lay it out for you in one offensive sentence
   SQ (6:29:23 PM): oh boy
```

Mod 6 (8938450 MpM) cayot Wret irresponsible and immature, you maintain nor do yo u appear to udnerstand what a commitment is, you do not do enough major work to warrant having such an insane amount of power over how the servers are done, and how the "business" of the site is conducted. youve installed yourself into your own place of power, and have only remained there because youve in the past been such an essential part of the site, that i could not have done anything about i t. it was a mistake for me to allow you to assume responsibilities which i shoul d have known you could not fulfill. as it stands, you should have remained the p hp coder, and not become an integral part of the website. why not become integra 1? because again, you lack both the responsibility, maturiy, and understanding o f how things should work, being that you are not entitled to anything, but rathe r are given the priviledge in exchange for being a productive member of the team . i think that is almost ridiculous that you are naive enough to be so firmly pl anted behind your beliefs, while i have conceded to the fact that my decision is not without its flaws. until you understand what dues come along with being an

administrator of a non expendable website, i think that you have no place on the team. Until you realize that you owe something to the site which you have volun tarily agreed to work on, just as i do to the users, we will get nowhere. youre

intelligent, and a very talented php coder, that is not being disputed. as of th e past few months, if not over the course of the past year, you have not made go od on numerous commitments and the assumed if not stated responsibilities that c ame along with your position. this is due course for your relief of current posi tion, if not termination outright. feel free to quote me on this everywhere, i know youre going to laugh about h ow "serious" that is, but its what i believe the underlying issues to be, and ex plains how and why i arrived at my decision. moot (6:35:55 PM): there you go moot (6:36:12 PM): have fun, i hope you learn something out of what ive said, at least one of us should benefit from this ordeal. moot (6:36:21 PM): also i didnt reread it, some things may not mean sense, ju st fill in the blanks moot (6:36:28 PM): i also tend to be redundant ! SQ (6:36:39 PM): When did I have anything to do with the "'business' of the s ite"? moot (6:36:59 PM): you have implied powers that rank amoung simon and i moot (6:37:11 PM): dont doubt that you arent important in the dealings of the site. SQ (6:37:20 PM): "business" moot (6:37:28 PM): decisions. SQ (6:37:30 PM): I am so important SQ (6:37:33 PM): you must get rid of me moot (6:37:38 PM): sadly, yes. SQ (6:37:46 PM): hah SQ (6:38:54 PM): and yet, you still act as if I do nothing for the site. SQ (6:38:56 PM): I don't get it SQ (6:38:57 PM): ! moot (6:39:05 PM): again, im sorry you believe that. SQ (6:39:15 PM): Why wouldn't I? moot (6:39:20 PM): i dont think there is anything left to discuss, as ive sai d before, youre free to remain on the site, and continue to code if youd like SQ (6:39:20 PM): That's exactly how you are acting right now. moot (6:39:29 PM): youre running this conversation into the ground as per usu al moot (6:39:36 PM): come back to me later if you have anything new to say SQ (6:39:42 PM): Yeah man, you're not doing that at all. SQ (6:39:44 PM): It's just me! moot (6:39:45 PM): or with anybody who completely disagrees with what ive don e so that i can debate it with them moot (6:39:52 PM): sorry but i never said that endmoot (6:40:03 PM): i love you, im sorry things had to work out this way "efri moot (6:40:08 PM): toodles, -love mootykins SQ (6:40:09 PM): Everyone I've talked to about this disagrees with you. moot (6:40:22 PM): awesome, get them to form well opinionated emails and send them to me SQ (6:40:43 PM): Why would that matter? SQ (6:40:51 PM): You'll just disagree with their opinions. SQ (6:40:55 PM): And it will be just like this. SQ (6:41:24 PM): I guess "well opinionated" means "something you can agree wi th" moot (6:42:13 PM): i dont mind if you get them to voice their opinions or not moot (6:42:15 PM): its your decision SQ (6:42:44 PM): But it won't make any difference. moot (6:42:50 PM): keep on thinking that SQ (6:42:57 PM): Why, you specifically said it yourself. moot (6:43:15 PM): ive also said that this is going nowhere fast, as per usua 1 SQ (6:43:21 PM): This went anywhere? moot (6:43:40 PM): in my opinion, yes SQ (6:43:44 PM): Where did it go! moot (6:43:48 PM): while the outcome wasnt in your favor, it went somewhere moot (6:44:03 PM): it gave me reasons to doubt my decision while further rein forcing it at the same time moot (6:44:11 PM): crazy i know! SQ (6:44:45 PM): No actually, removing my access to the site doesn't change a nything for the better. SQ (6:45:02 PM): What is the point, besides your delusions of my vendetta aga inst 4chan? SQ (6:45:14 PM): Not producing results on to-do list items has nothing to do with it. SQ (6:45:56 PM): Wait, I've already said all of this, and you've still got no thing. moot (6:47:25 PM): please grow up, really SQ (6:47:33 PM): hahahah moot (6:47:41 PM): why are we still speaking? moot (6:47:45 PM): ive told you what you must do if youre interested in doing it moot (6:47:58 PM): ive provided you with an out which satisfies your want to stay on as a team member SQ (6:48:03 PM): No you haven't. moot (6:48:05 PM): there is nothing else im willing to do as of right now moot (6:48:10 PM): alright, im sorry to hear that SQ (6:48:15 PM): Sorry to hear what? SQ (6:48:20 PM): That you haven't told me what I must do? moot (6:48:40 PM): tell people who sympathize with your side of events to con tact me. SQ (6:50:51 PM): Funny, this wasn't a requirement before! Session concluded at 7:19:27 PM /end